Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, 10/766,480	· MOESSLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew D. Kosar	1654
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) Andrew D. Kosar.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jay Williams</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 27 July 2006	Time: <u>13:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 3 and 4		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
		•
Coulin O Korn	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted in order to obtain approval for the examiner's amendment, amending claims 3 and 4 to place 'further' in claim 4 and amend the word order in claim 3 to 'further comprising'. Applicant's representative agreed to the amendments..